

4<sup>th</sup> Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physics, 26-31Oct, 2020, Remote e-conference

## A Low Plasma Current (~ 8 MA) Approach for ITER's Q=10 Goal

S. Ding<sup>1,2</sup>, A.M. Garofalo<sup>3</sup>, H. Wang<sup>3</sup>, L. Wang<sup>2</sup>, J. McClenaghan<sup>3</sup>, T. Odstrcil<sup>4</sup>,

M.T. Kotschenreuther<sup>5</sup>, B.A. Grierson<sup>6</sup>, X. Gong<sup>2</sup>, D.B. Weisberg<sup>3</sup>, D. Eldon<sup>3</sup>, J. Qian<sup>2</sup> and J. Huang<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oak Ridge Associated Universities, <sup>2</sup>Institute of Plasma Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences,

<sup>3</sup>General Atomics, <sup>4</sup>Plasma Science and Fusion Center, Massachusetts Institute of Technology,

<sup>5</sup>Institute for Fusion Studies, University of Texas, <sup>6</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University

e-mail (speaker): dingsiye@fusion.gat.com

Experiments on DIII-D support a new approach, confirmed by transport modeling, to achieve Q=10 in ITER using a scenario with low plasma current (~ 8 MA), high  $\beta_p$ , and line-averaged Greenwald fraction ( $f_{Gw}$ ) above 1. At 8 MA, the disruption risks, the ELM and the radiative divertor challenges are greatly reduced, with the possibility that uncontrolled ELMs may be acceptable [1]. Due to the need of sufficient fusion power and low plasma current, this approach requires high density with f<sub>Gw</sub>>1.0 simultaneous with high confinement quality ( $H_{98v2}>1$ ). Using impurity injection, the recent DIII-D experiments achieve and maintain these simultaneous conditions. Previously, high  $\beta_p$  plasmas with  $f_{Gw}$  up to 1.0 and  $H_{98v2}>1$ were obtained in JT-60U, albeit transiently and usually operated at low absolute density [2, 3], which is not favorable to reactor plasma. For the first time in a tokamak, experiments demonstrate that a stationary ITB at large radius ( $\rho \sim 0.7$ ) is compatible with H<sub>98v2</sub>>1, at reactor-level absolute density ( $n_{e0}>1.0\times10^{20}$  m<sup>-3</sup>),  $f_{Gw}>1$ , and reactor-relevant q95 as well (fig. 1). Such ITB is a key feature of the ITER 8 MA Q=10 modeling. Comparison between the experimental DIII-D profiles and the predicted ITER profiles shows also a good match of ITB location and profile shape (fig. 2). The DIII-D experiments confirm that the high density ITB in ITER modeling is achievable at similar  $q_{95}$  using the high  $\beta_p$ scenario.



Fig. 1. Time histories of DIII-D high  $\beta_p$  discharges showing line-averaged  $n_e$  at ITER Greenwald limit level with stationary  $(8-21 \times \tau_E) f_{GW} > 1.0, 1.2 \le H_{98} \le 1.4, q_{95} \sim 8.0, \beta_N \le 3.5, \beta_p \le 2.7$  and  $f_{NI} \le 0.9$ .



Fig. 2. Electron Temperature and density profiles predicted by 1D ITER simulations (solid lines with bands) overlaid with scaled DIII-D high  $\beta_p$  plasma experimental profiles (dots with error bars). Multipliers for DIII-D  $n_e$ and  $T_e$  data are 1 and 9, respectively.

The DIII-D high  $\beta_p$  experiments also demonstrate the excellent compatibility of actively controlled full detachment with high-performance ( $\beta_N \sim 3$ ,  $H_{98y2} \sim 1.5$ ) core plasma for the first time. It is confirmed that impurity injection, low plasma current and feedback controlled low heating power at high confinement are beneficial to the achievement of divertor detachment, which is consistent with the prediction of radiation-driven detachment modeling. The existence of large radius ITB, which breaks the stiffness of the core profiles, compensates for the loss of stored energy due to the lower pedestal pressure induced by divertor detachment. The DIII-D high  $\beta_p$  experiments show a path to the integration of excellent core plasma performance and efficient divertor solution towards the ITER and future reactor plasmas.

This work was supported in part by the US Department of Energy under DE-FC02-04ER54698 and DE-SC0010685. References

[1] R. A. Pitts, et al., Nucl. Mater. Energy, **20** (2019) 100696

[2] R. C. Wolf, *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, **45** (2003) R1

[3] N. Oyama and the JT-60 Team, *Nucl. Fusion*, **49** (2009) 104007