

5<sup>th</sup> Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physics, 26 Sept-1Oct, 2021, Remote e-conference Laser-shock compression of full density nano-polycrystalline diamond Kento Katagiri<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Norimasa Ozaki<sup>1,2</sup>, Yuhei Umeda<sup>1,3</sup>, Tetsuo Irifune<sup>4,5</sup>, Nobuki Kamimura<sup>1</sup>, Kohei Miyanishi<sup>6</sup>, Takayoshi Sano<sup>2</sup>, Toshimori Sekine<sup>1,7</sup>, and Ryosuke Kodama<sup>1,2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University <sup>2</sup>Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University <sup>3</sup>Institute for Planetary Materials, Okayama University <sup>4</sup>Geodynamics Research Center, Ehime University <sup>5</sup>Earth-Life Science Institute, Tokyo Institute of Technology <sup>6</sup>RIKEN SPring-8 Center <sup>7</sup>Center for High-Pressure Science and Technology Advanced Research e-mail (speaker): kkatagiri@ef.eie.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp

The strength of a material is affected by its grain size. This effect is known as grain boundary strengthening (or Hal-Petch effect<sup>[1]</sup>) and is recognized in many materials at ambient conditions. Since diamond is the hardest material in nature, the grain boundary strengthening effect in nano-polycrystalline diamond (NPD)<sup>[2]</sup> has been one of the major interests in the field of materials science.

In this study, we used high energy optical lasers to drive a strong shock wave(s) that compress the NPD samples. The Hugoniot (a locus of the shock state) was measured by using Velocity Interferometer Systems for Any Reflector (VISAR) which time-resolves the velocities of the shock waves and/or free-surface motions<sup>[3]</sup>. The maximum pressure achieved in this study is 1,600 GPa, much higher than the melting pressure of diamond. We found that the Hugoniot elastic limit of NPD is 202 ( $\pm$  13) GPa, which is more than twice as high as that of single-crystal diamond<sup>[4]</sup>. The results also show that the Hugoniot of NPD is stiffer than that of single-crystal diamond at pressures below 1,000 GPa, while no significant difference is observed at higher pressures where diamond becomes liquid (Figure 1). The density change accompanied by melting or a phase transition to denser solid phase would be small, as also seen in single-crystal diamond<sup>[9]</sup>.

Our findings confirm that the grain boundary strengthening effect recognized in static compression experiments is also effective against high strain-rate dynamic compressions. This is key to the development of ultrahard materials during and after high strain-rate compression, which could be extended to various applications such as spacecraft shielding, nanoceramics, and inertial confinement fusion targets.

The experiments were conducted under the joint research of the Institute of Laser Engineering, Osaka University, Japan. This work was supported by grants from MEXT Quantum Leap Flagship Program (MEXT Q-LEAP) Grant No. JPMXS0118067246, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) KAKENHI (Grants Nos. 19K21866 and 16H02246), and Genesis Research Institute, Inc. (Konpon-ken, Toyota). The NPD sample fabrication was conducted under the support of Joint Research Center PRIUS, Ehime University, Japan. We also acknowledge partial support by HPSTAR, China.



**Figure 1.** Density versus longitudinal pressure of shocked NPD<sup>[5]</sup>. Filled squares represent the elastic state (red) and plastic state (blue) obtained in this work. Other symbols are reference data of single-crystal diamond<sup>[4,8-10]</sup> and polycrystalline diamond<sup>[9]</sup>. The gray curves are the multiphase EOS of diamond calculated by DFT-MD<sup>[11]</sup>. Inset shows for more detail.

References

- [1] E. O. Hall, Proc. Phys. Soc. London B 64, 747 (1951)
- [2] T. Irifune *et al*, Nature **421**, 599 (2003)
- [3] K. Katagiri et al, Phys. Rev. B 101, 184106 (2020)
- [4] R.S. McWilliams *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 014111 (2010)
- [5] K. Katagiri et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 185701 (2020)
- [6] K. Kondo et al, Geophys. Res. Lett. 10, 281 (1983)
- [7] M.N. Pavlovskii, Sov. Phys. Solid State **13**, 741 (1971)
- [8] M.C. Gregor et al, Phys. Rev. B 95, 144114 (2017)
- [9] D.G. Hicks et al, Phys. Rev. B 78, 174102 (2008)
- [10] M.D. Knudson *et al*, Science **322**, 1822 (2008)
- [11] L.X. Benedict et al, Phys. Rev. B 89, 224109 (2014)