

5th Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physics, 26 Sept-1Oct, 2021, Remote e-conference

## Scenarios integrated-analysis for standard single-null plasma of HL-2M

L. Xue<sup>1</sup>, J. Garcia<sup>2</sup>, J.X. Li<sup>1</sup>, X. Song<sup>1</sup>, J. F. Artaud<sup>2</sup>, X.R. Duan<sup>1</sup>, H.L. Du<sup>1</sup>, T.G. Hoang<sup>2</sup>, S. Wang<sup>1</sup>,

<sup>1</sup> Southwestern Institute of Physics, Chengdu, China

<sup>2</sup> CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France.

e-mail(speaker): xuelei@swip.ac.cn

## Abstract:

The new tokamak HL-2M [1,2] at SWIP, is dedicated to study high-performance plasma physics and the edge/divertor physics by exploring various divertor concepts (e.g., snowflake, tripod), as well as the plasmawall interaction. The high-power heating & current drive system (NBI of 15MW+ECW of 8MW+LHW of 4MW) allows the machine to gain the capability of studying a variety of operation scenarios. The plasma current can reach 2.5~3MA in the double-null configuration with high elongation of 1.8. Considering potential VDE risk, a single-null with the favorable vertical stability (elongation  $\kappa$ =1.5~1.6, minor radius *a*=0.64, triangularity  $\delta$ =0.43) is desired in the first operation step. This paper focuses on the study of a standard single-null plasma, and two kinds of expected high-performance D-D scenarios (including the conventional inductive regime of Ip=1.8MA and the hybrid regime of Ip=1.4MA) are investigated, based on the integrated modelling suite-CRONOS [3-8]. For the conventional inductive H-mode regime of Ip=1.8MA /  $B_{\rm T}$ =2.2T with the line-averaged density N<sub>bar</sub> of 9.0×  $10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$  (Greenwald density fraction  $f_{\text{G}}=0.69$ ), NBI of 15MW combining with ECW of 8MW are implemented. In such condition, the O-mode with the frequency of 105/140 GHz allows the EC wave propagates deeper than the X-mode in the plasma, obtaining the deposition peak around  $\rho=0.4-0.5$ . Meanwhile, the NBI deposition power on ions is ~4 times of that on electrons in the core, and the total NBI deposition power profile is flat within  $\rho$ =0.65. The non-inductive current fraction is 0.34 with the bootstrap current fraction of 0.29. Due to the very low offaxis additional current drive, the current profile gets peaked in the center with  $\beta_p=1.2$ . The thermal energy of the plasma reaches 2.0MJ with the high  $\beta_N$  of 3.0 which is seemed to be compatible with  $l_i(3)=0.9$  of the peaked current profile for avoiding the resistive wall mode (RWM) instability. Similar to ITER baseline, the  $q_{95}$  can reach 3.0. For the hybrid regime of  $I_p=1.4MA / B_T=2.2T$ with Nbar= $4.9 \times 10^{19} \text{m}^{-3}$ , NBI of 8MW combine with the equatorial ECW (X-mode + 105GHz) of 6MW and upper ECW (X-mode + 140GHz) of 2MW are implemented. In this case, power the deposition peak of NBI is on-axis, while the ECW deposition peak is off-axis. Comparing to the conventional inductive regime, both the bootstrap current fraction and the additional drive current fraction increase. The total non-inductive drive current fraction reaches 0.6. The substantial increased off-axis drive current allows the magnetic shear to get flat around the center. The center safety factor  $q_0$  increases to 1.2 and the

minimum safety factor  $q_{\min}$  increases to 0.94 with the location of  $\rho$ =0.35. Such weak reversed shear leads to an internal transport barrier (ITB) generated around the center, allowing the energy confinement to increase. The H<sub>98(y,2)</sub> reaches 1.3. Similar to the ITER hybrid scenario,  $q_{95}$  in this regime reaches 3.9. The ion and the electron temperature of the center can reach 6.4keV and 8.5keV, respectively. The thermal energy of the plasma reaches 1.4MJ with the high  $\beta_{\rm N}$  of 3.1.

## References

- [1] Duan X R et al Fusion Research at SWIP in Support to ITER and CFETR 1st Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physic (Chengdu, China, 18-23 Sep. 2017) P29
- [2] Li Q 2015 Fusion Engineering and Design **96-97** 338
- [3] Artaud J.F. et al 2010 Nucl. Fusion 50 043001
- [4] Imbeaux F. et al 2015 Nucl. Fusion 55 123006
- [5] Garcia J. et al 2008 Physical Review Letters 100 255004
- [6] Garcia J. and Giruzzi G. 2010 *Physical Review Letters* **104** 205003
- [7] Garcia J. and Giruzzi G. 2012 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **54** 015009
- [8] Garcia J. et al 2013 *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* 55 085006



**Figure 1** Profile (ion and electron temperature Ti, Te, density ne, safety factor q) comparisons between low(800eV) and high temperature pedestal (1300eV)

Z.Y. Zheng<sup>1</sup>, W.L. Zhong<sup>1</sup>