

6th Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physics, 9-14 Oct, 2022, Remote e-conference

## **KBM Stability in NSTX Pedestals**

J. F. Parisi<sup>1</sup>, W. Guttenfelder<sup>1</sup>, A. Kleiner<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory e-mail (speaker): jparisi@pppl.gov

While pedestal models such as EPED have been successful in predicting pedestal pressure profiles for most tokamaks<sup>[1]</sup>, their predictions for NSTX deviate significantly from experiment<sup>[2]</sup>. We focus on two of the hypothesized reasons for this discrepancy: (1) kinetic corrections to ideal infinite-n ballooning modes, and (2) other transport channels that could constrain pedestal pressure gradients before kinetic ballooning mode (KBM) onset.

We present a new framework that augments existing pedestal models by using gyrokinetic simulations to determine a stability boundary analogous to the ballooning critical pedestal (BCP) constraint in an EPED-like approach. By incorporating the critical linear temperature and density gradients for dominant micro instabilities, we find a new pedestal pressure gradient constraint — the gyrokinetic critical pedestal (GCP) constraint - for NSTX discharges. Local, linear gyrokinetic stability analysis is performed by varying the experimental equilibrium self-consistently, which is then used to predict pedestal width and height. This selfconsistent equilibrium calculation rescales temperature and density gradients starting from the experimental point. Since our model distinguishes between density and temperature profiles, we characterise how stability thresholds – and therefore pedestal evolution – are affected by varying temperature and density profiles. This analysis is performed at multiple time intervals in the inter-ELM buildup, which shows how transport channels evolve during the pedestal ELM cycle.

Calculating the GCP constraint not only provides stability information about KBMs — which are similar to the ballooning modes captured by the BCP — but also shows other microstabilities present during the pedestal evolution. Therefore, our model captures both stability and transport properties as the pedestal evolves.

1. Snyder, P. B. et al. Pedestal stability comparison and ITER pedestal prediction. Nucl. Fusion 49, 085035 (2009).

2. Diallo, A. et al. Progress in characterization of the pedestal stability and turbulence during the edge-

localized-mode cycle on National Spherical Torus Experiment. Nucl. Fusion 53, (2013).

3. Candy, J., Belli, E. A. & Bravenec, R. V. A highaccuracy Eulerian gyrokinetic solver for collisional plasmas. J. Comput. Phys. 324, (2016).



Figure: Contour plot of KBM stable and unstable regions for NSTX discharge #132543, plotted versus pressure gradient scaling factor  $\nabla p$  (x-axis) and minor radius r/a (y-axis) for four binormal wavenumbers  $k_y \varrho_i$ . Each stability contour is generated from linear CGYRO<sup>[3]</sup> simulations. The pressure gradient scaling factor rescales the experimental pedestal equilibrium by a scalar value; the equilibrium is also computed self-consistently.