

## 6<sup>th</sup> Asia-Pacific Conference on Plasma Physics, 9-14 Oct, 2022, Remote e-conference Database analysis for RMP-driven ELM-crash-suppression experiments in KSTAR carbon wall

Minwoo Kim<sup>1</sup>, W. H. Ko<sup>1</sup>, S.-H. Hahn<sup>1</sup>, G. W. Shin<sup>1</sup>, S. K. Kim<sup>2,3</sup>, S. M. Yang<sup>2</sup>, J. Lee<sup>1</sup>,

Y. M. Jeon<sup>1</sup>, Y. In<sup>4</sup>, G. Y. Park<sup>1</sup>, J.-W. Juhn<sup>1</sup>, and J. H. Lee<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Korea Institute of Fusion Energy, <sup>2</sup> Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory,

<sup>3</sup> Princeton University, <sup>4</sup> Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology

e-mail (speaker): minwookim@kfe.re.kr

Applying external resonant magnetic perturbation (RMP) is one of the promising methods for a steady-state long-pulse operation by regulating heat and particle flux driven by edge-localized mode (ELM) crash if an H-mode is adopted as an operation scenario in a fusion reactor. During the KSTAR carbon-wall era to an end after the 2022 campaign, a number of RMP-driven ELM-crash-control experiments have been conducted since the ELM crash suppression was realized in 2011 first [1]. A database for the RMP experiments is constructed for systematic and statistical analysis related to ELM-crash-suppression conditions.



Figure 1. Pedestal profile analysis results: (a) Electron temperature ( $T_e$ ) versus electron density ( $n_e$ ), and (b) toroidal plasma rotation speed ( $V_{tor}$ ) versus RMP current ( $I_{RMP}$ ) [2].  $T_e$ ,  $n_e$ , and  $V_{tor}$  are from  $T_e$  pedestal top.

This presentation provides an updated dataset based on the previous analysis in Ref. [2]. The pedestal profiles are analyzed for discharges in a static  $n = 1, 90^{\circ}$  phasing RMP configuration. The profile analysis provides pedestal parameter ranges where the accessibility to the ELM crash suppression is confirmed in the KSTAR RMP experiments:  $n_{\rm c}$  (electron density) < 1.5 x 10<sup>19</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>,  $V_{\rm tor}$ (toroidal rotation speed of carbon impurity) > 40 km/s, and  $0.2 < v_{e}^{*}$  (normalized electron collisionality) < 1.1 at the pedestal top.

The database presents the plasma performance (represented by the normalized beta) during the suppression phase related to plasma and engineering parameters.  $\beta_N$  highly depends on total auxiliary heating power ( $P_{heat}$ ) and RMP strength ( $I_{RMP}$ ) rather than other

factors, such as plasma shape, line-averaged electron density, and plasma current;  $\beta_N$  has a positive (negative) correlation to  $P_{heat}$  ( $I_{RMP}$ ). Based on  $\beta_N$  database analysis, it is investigated whether the ELM crash suppression is maintained in high  $\beta_N$  (> 2.4) conditions. The RMP onset right after the L-H transition using the machine learning (ML) based real-time classifier helps enhance  $\beta_N$  in the RMP phase due to relative high core  $T_i$  compared to conventional RMP application case [3]. The duration of  $\beta_N > 2.4$  ELM crash suppression is ~1.1 s (maximum  $\beta_N$ ~ 2.46). Additionally, the edge-localized RMP (ERMP) [4] and adaptive  $I_{RMP}$  control algorithm [5] are applied to enhance  $\beta_N$  in the suppression phase further. Transiently,  $\beta_N$  reaches up to ~2.55 with the ELM crash suppression.



Figure 2. Normalized beta  $(\beta_N)$  in the ELM crash suppression phase versus total auxiliary heating power (a) and RMP current (b) in static n = 1 RMP configuration.

This work was supported by the Korean Ministry of Science and ICT under the KFE R&D Program of "KSTAR Experimental Collaboration and Fusion Plasma Research (KFE-EN2201-13)" through the Korea Institute of Fusion Energy (KFE).

References

- [1] Y. M. Jeon et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 035004 (2012)
- [2] M. Kim et al., Phys. Plasmas 27, 112501 (2020)
- [3] G. Shin *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion **62**, 026035 (2022)
- [4] S. M. Yang et al., Nucl. Fusion 60, 096023 (2020)
- [5] S. K. Kim et al., Nucl. Fusion 62, 026043 (2022)